



SECTION 4

Decision Packages

4.1 What is a decision package?

What is a decision package?

Decision packages are a key set of building blocks for constructing the budget request. The decision package is the place for the agency to make a persuasive case for the proposed change. OFM will rely upon this information in evaluating the request.

Decision packages organize and describe proposed cost changes in a way that highlights the budget decisions. The decision package consolidates the financial information, the supporting justification, and the statement of impact for a specific action or policy proposed for implementation in the budget. One decision package describes a proposed item of change listed on the Recommendation Summary.

The Budget Development System (BDS) assists agencies in developing all components of budget decision packages. It also automatically displays the expenditure, revenue, and FTE detail that agencies enter into the system rolled up to the selected level (agency or program) for the decision package report.

When is a decision package needed?



***Agency request
legislation decision
packages must be
submitted with the budget***

Decision package narrative is required for all incremental changes to the current biennium budget except for changes for carry-forward, OASI, inflation, I-732 COLA increase and the package to recast maintenance level to activities.

If an agency submits proposed agency request legislation with a budget impact, a corresponding decision package must be included in the agency budget submittal.

Decision packages should be prepared at the required budget level (agency level except for those agencies listed in Section 1.3), but should always describe which programs and activities are affected by the request.

Decision packages should represent significant, discrete decisions



Each decision package will appear as one line with a **positive or negative** amount on the Recommendation Summary and should represent a significant, discrete budget decision. Craft your decision packages so that related items are grouped together, but do not obscure or combine separate decisions.

The budget decision hinges on the stated performance objective being addressed.

Example: Seven new driver's license examining stations are proposed to expand geographic coverage for an existing service and to reduce waiting time for clients. The performance objective in this case is expanding coverage and reducing wait times. While the location of the examining stations is a necessary component of meeting this objective, the location of each station is not a separate budget decision. In this case, the agency would submit one decision package.

Please contact your OFM budget analyst if you have questions about how best to organize budget requests into decision packages.

4.2 The required elements of the decision package

The decision package has required elements

The required decision package elements serve as a checklist for the key information OFM needs to analyze the request. We expect that actual justification materials will vary in length and complexity, depending on the nature of the decision package being proposed. The Budget Development System facilitates the entry of all the required components.

The following are required elements of the decision package.

***Decision Package
(RecSum) Code***

Decision packages are identified with unique, two-digit decision package codes (also called RecSum codes). The BDS will list the allowable codes from which agencies may choose. Agencies must use alpha-alpha codes, except for those designated by OFM. Sections 5 and 6 note the OFM-designated codes that must be used for certain types of maintenance and performance level changes.

List decision packages in priority order

List performance-level decision packages in priority order on the Decision Package Summary. The BDS allows agencies to reprioritize decision packages once their budget development is complete. Please note that the decision package code does not indicate the agency's priority order.

Decision package title

The title will appear on the Recommendation Summary report and should be as descriptive as possible within the limit of 35 characters. The system also offers the option of entering a longer, more descriptive title for other purposes. This longer title will not be sent to OFM or printed in required reports.

Agency Recommendation Summary Text

Each decision package should have a brief description of its purpose, written in complete sentences. This text will be loaded into the OFM WinSum budget system and will serve as the starting point for OFM text that describes items funded in the Governor's budget.

Make it compelling. Describe the problem, what the package buys, and how the package solves the problem. Strive for succinct, precise, and non-technical text. Avoid jargon and acronyms; the text should be clear to an audience that isn't necessarily expert on the issue. We suggest that agencies limit this text to about 100 words.

Please note: OFM uses Recommendation Summary reports to brief executive decision-makers. It is in your interest to make recsum text clear, concise and compelling.

We urge agencies to look at examples in the last budget for guidance on the kind of summary information desired. The link below will take you to the Recommendation Summaries published for the 2006 supplemental budget proposal.

<http://www.ofm.wa.gov/budget06/recsum/>

Fiscal Detail

The BDS automatically displays the operating expenditures by account and objects of expenditure, the staffing detail by FTEs, and the revenue detail by account that agencies have entered into the system for each decision package. The BDS provides the option of printing the fiscal detail at the agency level, with program detail (required for those agencies appropriated by program listed in Section 1.3), or a six-year, multi-year view for transportation agencies.

Description Briefly describe the problem or opportunity that is being addressed, the solution being proposed, the agency activities affected, and the expected nature of the change. Compare and contrast how the activities function at the current budgeted level and how they would function instead under this proposal. Include references to workload, eligibility standards, delivery system, staffing, and other elements that will help paint a picture of the situation. The description should also include a proposed implementation schedule to be followed if the item is funded.

Narrative justification and impact statement The core of the decision package is the justification for the change being requested and a statement of its effect on agency strategies and operations. The BDS is structured to elicit information for each of the following elements of the decision package.

- **How this decision package contributes to the agency's strategic plan and its activities.** Briefly describe how this decision package contributes to one or more of the following:
 - The agency's strategic plan.
 - Statewide results or strategies identified by a Priorities of Government results team.
 - Enabling the state to do a better job with one or more of the listed activities.
- **Performance Measure Detail.** If one or more of the activity performance measures the agency reports in the Performance Measure Tracking system are affected by the decision package, please identify the expected incremental change in annual performance targets for each measure for each applicable fiscal year if the decision package is enacted. The BDS provides the tools to identify the incremental impacts for these measures. If the decision package will contribute to some other ongoing activity result, the agency should establish a new measure in the system for that activity.

If the decision package is expected to bring about some other kind of performance change — a change that would not be relevant as an ongoing measure of activity results — please note the expected change by fiscal year in the “Reason for Change” narrative section below, rather than creating a new performance measure solely to discuss the expected results of the decision package.

Please note: Decision packages that do not describe contribution to activity results are much less compelling than other decision packages.

Refer to Section 9.2 for more information identifying performance measure increments.

- **The reason for the change.** What problem is being addressed? What kind of change in results or performance (not presented in the performance measure detail section above) can be expected if this proposal is implemented? If this information is not included in the decision package, expect your OFM analyst to ask why the agency does not expect a change in performance as a result of a funding change.
- **The impact of the change on agency clients and services.** What levels of service are provided today and at what cost and staffing level? How will existing services be altered by the change in funding? Will additional resources increase efficiency, respond to additional workload, expand eligibility, or enhance services?
- **The impact on other state programs or other units of government.** Describe whether a decision package item alters costs, workload, operations, or revenues in another agency program, another agency, or another unit of local or federal government.
- **The relationship, if any, to the state's capital budget.** If the decision package item requires new space, alterations to existing space, or increased maintenance, the additional demands should be described. Also note if the proposal reduces facility requirements. If an agency capital budget request supports the decision package, it should be referenced by the same project title, number, cost, and fund source in both places if at all possible.
- **Revisions required in an existing statute, Washington Administrative Code (WAC), contract, or state plan** in order to implement the change. Please indicate the proposed agency request legislation that is related to this decision package.

- **A discussion of alternatives explored by the agency**, including the pros and cons of the alternatives, why they were not selected, and why the recommended alternative was chosen. In this section, anticipate the natural questions a curious budget analyst will have. For example, did you consider:
 - Approaches with different budget impacts?
 - Regulatory or statutory changes pursued in order to simplify, reduce, and streamline requirements that must be fulfilled by the agency process(es) affected by this budget change?
 - Resource redeployment options undertaken to maximize the efficiency of existing agency financial, staffing, capital, or technology resources devoted to the problem this budget change is designed to address?

As you consider alternatives, please refer to Appendix A-3 for a list of some of the strategies to reduce costs and improve efficiency that the Priorities of Government results teams will be asked to consider in their analysis this year.

- **Budget impacts in future biennia.** Discuss future effects on expenditures, FTEs, fund sources, and revenue.
- **A distinction between one-time and ongoing functions and costs.** Please describe and include the dollar amount for how much of the request is necessary to cover one-time funding (such as for equipment or a study).
- **Effects of non-funding.** Please describe the consequences to stakeholders and client groups of not funding the decision package as requested.
- **Expenditure and revenue calculations and assumptions.** Agencies should display the calculations (e.g., unit costs and formulas) used to arrive at expenditure, revenue, and workload estimates connected with the decision package. Clearly identify the factual basis of any policy or workload assumptions and how the cost estimates are derived from these assumptions. It is helpful to discuss the general types of staff assumed in the calculations (e.g., clerical, analytical, information technology, manager, etc.).

If a new fee or fee increase is proposed, please include the following information:

- Fee title
- Description of fee change
- Rationale for fee increase
- Dollar amount of fee change or change in rate
- Affected stakeholders
- Note whether legislation is required
- Note whether the fee increase is above the fiscal growth factor (Refer to the expenditure limit committee website <http://www.elc.wa.gov/> for the fiscal growth factors.)

This fee information is not required for proposed increases in the central service agency charges identified in Section 13.3, but would be required for other fee increases to state agencies.

Objects of expenditure

Objects of Expenditure. This portion of the report is automatically inserted into the decision package from the Object Detail worksheet created by the agency in the BDS. While the agency's base budget is not required to be submitted by object, OFM analysts do find it very helpful in understanding how the new funding requested in the decision package will be used.

Do not include estimates for cost of goods sold in the cost of the agency budget submittals, although this information may be described in the decision package.

See the decision package example in Appendix A-2

We have included a model decision package example in Appendix A-2 to show the level of information and support we expect to see in decision packages.

Other decision package information requirements

Refer to Section 10 for a checklist to help ensure that decision packages are consistent with information being proposed in the agency's capital budget.

Refer to Section 11 for information on information technology portfolios and the required additional information elements that must be included in relevant decision packages.

Refer to Section 12 for required information to include in self-insurance premium decision packages.

The Part 1 instructions provide information on how the decision package information should be linked to the agency's strategic plan, performance measures and statewide results.

How OFM will use the activity inventory, strategic plan, and performance measure information in analyzing decision packages

As part of the budget recommendation process, OFM will be considering whether the activity inventory, strategic plan, decision package, and performance measures make the business case for any requested financial change. We want to know, “What is it about this decision package that will enable the state to do a better job with one or more of the listed activities?” Agencies should make sure that the decision package submittal helps answer the following questions:

- What changes in external environment, customer characteristics, internal capacity, or policy issues, decisions or risks are driving this request? Has the agency clearly shown with supporting data that a problem exists that needs solving?
- How does the proposal link to the agency’s strategic plan, POG recommendations, and other relevant policy direction? Does it make sense in the context of POG or agency-identified direction, and achievement of articulated performance targets?
- What is the priority of this proposed change compared to other proposals? Compared to existing activities funded in the base budget? What are the policy tradeoffs implied by this prioritization?
- How will this proposal affect other agencies and major partners? How have they been involved in the development of this proposal?
- How does this proposal affect or relate to the agency's or Governor's request legislation?
- What data can the agency supply to show that the proposed solution will be effective? Can it be tracked as a performance measure?
- Does the projected performance return for this proposed investment seem feasible and compelling?
- Has the agency incorporated ideas from GMAP, quality improvement, process improvement, and regulatory improvement efforts to reduce unnecessary or low-value expenditures?
- How will this proposal enhance agency efficiency?
- Why this option and not others? What evaluation process led to this solution?
- If not funded, would the agency decide not to proceed or would the agency reprogram other resources?
- Why is this change presented as an incremental increase instead of a reprioritization within the base?